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Lecture Outline

• Introduction to Open Educational Resources.

• OER from an Asian Perspective: Reflections of the OER Asia Study.

• A Viable Model for OER Reuse in ODL Courses: Case Study.

Introduction to Open Educational Resources (OER)
Main Reference


Available at: http://www.col.org/resources/publications/Pages/detail.aspx?PID=411

Talking Points

- Definition of OER
- Current Status
- The “O” in OER
- Copyright
- Access
- Curation
- Funding and Sustainability
- Impact
- Future Direction
Definition

- “web-basedmaterials, offered freely and openly for use and re-use in teaching, learning and research” (Joyce, 2007).

- “teaching, learning and research materials in any medium, digital or otherwise, that reside in the public domain or have been released under an open license that permits no-cost access, use, adaptation and redistribution by others with no limited restrictions” (UNESCO Paris OER Declaration, 2012)


The "O" in OER

The four R's model:

- **Reuse**—the most basic level of openness. People are allowed to freely use all or part of the unaltered, verbatim work.

- **Redistribute**—people can share copies of the work with others.

- **Revise**—people can adapt, modify, translate, or change the form of the work.

- **Remix**—people can take two or more existing resources and combine them to create a new resource.
Copyright

OpenContentLicensing (OCL) schemes:

- PublicDomain
- CreativeCommons (CC)
- GNUFreeDocumentationLicensing
- Otherregionalorinstitutionallicenses
  - BCCommons (discontinued)
CCPorted vs. Unported

- **Unported**: abides by international copyright law and is not subject to regional jurisdictions;

- **Ported**: a version customized to suit the copyright law of a particular region or jurisdiction.

Access (ALMS)

- **Access to editing tools** – how accessible are the software tools needed to reuse the resource?

- **Level of expertise required to revise or remix** – how easy is it to revise or remix a resource without advanced technical skills or specialist knowledge?

- **Meaningfully editable** – can the resource be used or remixed with less time and effort than needed to create it from scratch?

- **Source file access** – does the resource provide access to an editable source file which can be used to revise or remix?
Curation

- Content repositories—hosts content internally within the repository (e.g., Connexions, WikiEducator).

- Portal repositories—provides searchable catalogues of content hosted in external repositories (e.g., OER Commons, DOER).

- Content and portal repositories—hosts content internally in addition to providing searchable catalogues of content hosted in external repositories.

---

Funding and Sustainability

1. Endowment Model
2. Membership Model
3. Donations Model
4. Conversion Model
5. Contributor-Pay Model
6. Sponsorship Model
7. Institutional Model
8. Governmental Model
9. Partnerships and Exchanges

---


---
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Impact

• “just as the Linux operating system and other open source software have become a pervasive computer technology around the world, so too might OER materials become the basis for training the global masses” (Farber, 2009).

• The move towards OER can significantly reduce the cost of learning (Caswell et al., 2009).


Future Direction

Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC)

UDACITY
Questions

OER from an Asian Perspective Reflections of the OER Asia Study
Main Reference


Available at: http://www.col.org/resources/publications/Pages/detail.aspx?PID=441

The OERAsia Survey

- Aimed to identify the current state of play in the Asian Region with respect to OER practice.

- The survey mainly concentrated on, but not limited to, the current situation in Malaysia, Vietnam, Indonesia, India, Philippines, Japan, China, Hong Kong, South Korea.

- Duration: 27 months
Scope

- **Learning Content**: Full courses, courseware, content modules, learning objects, collections, and journals.

- **Tools**: Software to support the development, use, reuse, and delivery of learning content including searching and organization of content, content and learning management systems, content development tools, and online learning communities.

- **Implementation Resources**: Intellectual property licenses to promote open publishing of materials, design principles of best practice and localization of content.

Objectives

1. To determine the demand for OER;
2. To establish the regional capabilities to develop and/or use OER;
3. To determine, list, and describe the range of OER activities in the region;
4. To list and describe the methods adopted for the creation of OERs;
5. To identify the policy, legal and technological issues relating to the use of OERs;
6. To identify/determine the requirements of quality and the irrelevance in the OER environment;
7. To undertake and economic analysis of the OER development and use;
Responses

Individual (N=420)
- Philippines 36 (9%)
- Korea, South 64 (15%)
- Malaysia 27 (9%)
- Japan 12 (3%)
- Indonesia 42 (10%)
- India 67 (16%)
- China 75 (18%)
- Other 12 (3%)

Institutional (N=98)
- Philippines 36 (14%)
- Vietnam 14 (15%)
- Hong Kong 40 (9%)
- Other 4 (4%)

Respondent Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Title</th>
<th>Institution Status</th>
<th>Public</th>
<th>Private for-profit</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof.</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privately not-for-profit</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private for-profit</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.00%</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr.</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>75.50%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privately not-for-profit</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.70%</td>
<td>9.80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private for-profit</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.80%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>75.70%</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privately not-for-profit</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14.40%</td>
<td>9.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private for-profit</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.90%</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>Public</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>66.20%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Privately not-for-profit</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.70%</td>
<td>14.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Private for-profit</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14.10%</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>312</td>
<td>74.30%</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10.70%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Teaching Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Title</th>
<th>Undergraduate</th>
<th>Postgraduate</th>
<th>HighSchool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr.</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr.</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms.</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OER: Academic Use

#### I have used
- Yes: 65%
- No: 23%
- Unsure: 12%

#### I will use
- Yes: 80%
- No: 15%
- Unsure: 5%
OER: Attitudes Towards Publication

- Have Published:
  - Yes: 31%
  - No: 58%
  - Unsure: 11%

- Will Publish:
  - Yes: 60%
  - No: 10%
  - Unsure: 30%

OER: Sources

- Produced by yourself
- Produced within the institution
- Downloaded from OER repository (such as MIT OCW, MERLOT, OpenLearn, Connexions, etc.)
- Freely downloaded from the internet
- Coming from an established cooperation with other educational institutions
OER: Production

- 82.26% We currently do not produce open educational content
- 61.20% As full courses/programmes
- 45.14% As part of courses/programmes
- 124.40% As learning objects

OER: Co-operation

The true cost savings for an institution would be visible only when more and more OER-based course materials are developed and shared freely amongst peer institutions through a “Partnerships and Exchanges” model (Downes, 2007) reducing the need for re-development of common modules.

OER:Co-operation

Producing

- Yes, in the same region/state: 47.16%
- Yes, in another part of the country: 20.7%
- Yes, internationally: 13.4%
- No: 21.73%

Exchanging

- Yes, in the same region/state: 46.15%
- Yes, in another part of the country: 17.6%
- Yes, internationally: 16.5%
- No: 22.74%

OER: Barriers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Lack of awareness</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Lack of skills</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Lack of time</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Lack of hardware</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Lack of software</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Lack of access to computers</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Lack of ability to locate specific and relevant OER for my teaching</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Lack of ability to locate quality OER for my teaching</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 No rewards system for staff members devoting time and energy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Lack of interest in pedagogical innovation among staff members</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Lack of support from management level</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OER: Copyright Awareness

Individual
- Yes: 89%
- No: 11%

Institutional
- Yes: 97%
- No: 3%

OER: Use of Copyright Licenses

Individual
- Yes: 148.61%
- Yes, Creative Commons: 11.39%
- Yes, other "open content license": 2.00%

Institutional
- Yes: 43.73%
- Yes, Creative Commons: 56.27%
- Yes, other "open content license": 0.00%
OER: Key Copyright Concerns

- Remixing different resources legally;
- Incorporates unlicensed third-party content;
- Discovering materials can be legally used;
- Publishing material created.

OER: Benefits in Teaching

- Gaining access to the best possible resources
- Promotes scientific research and education as publicly open activities
- Bringing down costs for students
- Bringing down costs for course development for institutions
- Outreach to disadvantaged communities
- Assisting developing countries
- Becoming independent of publishers (~50/50)
- Creating more flexible materials
- Conducting research and development
- Building sustainable partnerships
PointsforAction

- Further support is needed especially at institutional level to facilitate capacity building in the use of digital resources and OER;
- A culture of collaboration between institutions need to be established to harness the full potential of open content;
- More capacity building is needed at institutional and national level to familiarise users with the benefits and limitation of open content licensing;
- Institutions need to establish set policies encouraging the wider use and re-use of open content.

TheResearchTeam

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OERAsiaTeamMember</th>
<th>Region and Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professor Emeritus Gajara Dhanaranjan (Principal Investigator)</td>
<td>Malaysia: Wawasan Open University (WOU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Tsuneo Yamada</td>
<td>Japan: Open University of Japan (OUJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Yong Kim</td>
<td>S. Korea: Korea National Open University (KNOU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Li Yawani</td>
<td>China: Beijing Open University (BJOU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Yu Jen Sun</td>
<td>Hong Kong: China Open University of Hong Kong (OUHK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alex Wong</td>
<td>Hong Kong: China Open University of Hong Kong (OUHK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Patricia Aninto</td>
<td>Philippines: University of the Philippines Open University (POU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Daryono</td>
<td>Indonesia: Universitas Terbuka (UT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Minh Do</td>
<td>Vietnam: Vietnam Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Venkataraman Balaji</td>
<td>India: Commonwealth of Learning (COL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Bharathi Harishankar</td>
<td>India: University of Madras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ishan Abeywardena</td>
<td>Malaysia: Wawasan Open University (WOU)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OER Asia Meeting: 16-17 April 2012
THE OPEN UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

Let’s discuss more over tea?
AViableModelforOERReuseinODLC
aseStudy

MainReference


Available at: http://www.col.org/resources/publications/Pages/detail.aspx?PID=441
Wawasan Open University (WOU)

Established in 2007 for adult learners.

Vision: We aspire to be a vibrant learning community that inspires learning, supports innovation and nurtures all-round personal growth.

Mission: We commit ourselves to the expansion of opportunities in higher education and to teaching excellence in order to increase the level of knowledge and scholarship among all Malaysians.
LearningatWOU

- PhD
- Masters
- Bachelor
- G.Diploma
- SPM & PMR

**Accreditation of Prior Experiential Learning**

- SPM & PMR
- STPM
- G.Diploma
- Bachelor
- Masters
- PhD

**OER = Open Educational Resources**

**FOSS = Free and Open Source Software**
ODLCourseDevelopmentTeam

- CourseTeamLeader(CTL)
- CourseCoordinator(CC)
- CourseWriter(s)(CW)
- AcademicMember(AM)
- InstructionalDesigner(s)(ID)
- Editor
- ExternalCourseAssessor(ECA)
- GraphicsDesigner(s)(GD)
- RepresentativefromLearningandLibraryServices(LLS)
- RepresentativefromInformationTechnologyServices(ITS)

CourseDevelopmentProcess

- Course Syllabus
- Draft Course Materials
  - Course Guide
  - Course Assessment (TMA, Exam)
- Finished Course Materials
  - Course Guide
  - Course Assessment (TMA, Exam)
- Final Product
  - Print and distribute Course Materials to students

Library & ITS

Wrap-around course: 12 months; Stand-alone course: 18 months
CourseDevelopmentApproach

- Use pre-developed proprietary course material from more established ODL institutions such as the Open University of Hong Kong (OUHK) under licence:
  - WOU pays royalties!

- Develop course material as wrap-around material to established textbooks.
  - WOU gives away textbooks for free!

NeedforOER

1. Move away from proprietary course material used under licence;
2. Abandon the model which bundled costly textbooks with the course material;
3. Develop all the course material in-house from scratch as self-contained or "stand-alone";
4. Reduce course development times (stand-alone: 18 months);
5. Better utilise resources (CDT).
Institutional Policy on OER

The first official venture into OER was announced in the beginning of 2011 when the WOU Council endorsed the use of OER wherever possible in the development of course material.

WOU-OER Policy: Prepared by the Office of Assistant Vice Chancellor (Academic Support) and approved by the Senate, Management Board and Board of Governor of WOU (August 2012)

Available at: http://eprint.wou.edu.my/policies.html

Pilot Project

TCC242/05 Web Database Application

A five-credit-hour middle-level core Information Technology (IT) course (equivalent to a second-year course in a conventional University).

The course deals with the development of database-driven web applications using the PHP scripting language and MySQL databases in the Linux, Apache, PHP and MySQL environment commonly referred to as the “LAMP” architecture.
Reasons Behind Course Selection

1. The availability of required material as OER;
2. The availability of official technical manuals released by php.net and mysql.com which can be used to cross-check the integrity of the OER material;
3. The composition of the course which includes theory and practical exercises;
4. The expertise available in the CDT with respect to the subject matter.

Methodology

1. Formation of the CDT
2. Identification of the Relevant OER Material
3. Adaptation of the OER Material
4. Quality Assurance
Formation of the CDT

- the CTL, CC, CW, AM, ID, and ECA need to be subject matter experts in this particular area of IT.

- The composition of the CDT needs to be perfect with respect to the expertise as well as team dynamics.

- The team members need to have a general acceptance of the concept of OER and a thorough understanding of how to use it within the Creative Commons license framework.

The Team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDT Member</th>
<th>Level of Competence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CTL/CC</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer in IT and Computer Science</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CW</td>
<td>Senior R&amp;D Engineer in Software Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. AM</td>
<td>Lecturer in IT and Database Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. ECA</td>
<td>Professor in IT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. ID</td>
<td>Senior Instructional Designer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Identification of OER Material**

The CC was assigned the task of identifying OER for each of the topics:

- Reviewed OER repositories and shortlisted after discussion among the CDT.

Each of the repositories were manually searched using their native search mechanisms to locate the relevant OER material.

**Selected Source of OER**

**WikiBooks**

- **English**: Open-content textbooks and books (9,400+ pages)
- **Deutsch**: Lehrbücher für die Lehre (25,000+ Seiten)
- **Español**: Libros de contabilidad (6,600+ palabras)
- **Português**: Livros de Línguas e Tecnologias (9,500+ páginas)
- **Polski**: Czytaj komunikat (0,500+ stron)
- **Italiano**: Libri di contabilità (5,500+ pagine)
- **Nederlands**: Leerboeken (7,000+ pagina's)

Search: Suche • Rechercher • Busca • Kesés • Buscar • Ricerca • Kledat 検索 • Szukaj • Kerke • Zöeken • .findElement • Haku • Tìm kiếm • Поиск • Troža
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Adaptation of the OER Material

Integration Model

Quality Assurance

- Vetting of the second draft of the study unit
- Cross-checking the OER material with the official technical manuals
- Amend second draft of study unit
- Produce third draft

- Vetting of the third draft of the study unit
- Produce ECA report on the suitability of the unit and amendments needed

- Discuss how best the comments by the ECA can be incorporated into the study unit

- Amend third draft of study unit
- Produce fourth draft of study unit

- Vetting of the fourth draft of the study unit
- Acceptance of the draft
- Forward to Editor for production
Final Product

The OER content adapted from Wikibooks comprised approximately 70% of the complete course material. The remaining 30% was developed by the CD Tin-house which included the assessments, manuals, laboratory exercises, and course guide. Course has been successfully presented twice in January 2012 and January 2013 semesters.

Available for free download at: http://eprint.wou.edu.my/31/

Course Development Time

- Usual course development time for a “stand-alone” course is 18 months.

- TCC242/05 Web Database Application went from the Blueprint stage to the Approval stage in approximately 10 months.
Course Development Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>Development Cost (RM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. TIC304/05 Satellite and Optical</td>
<td>stand-alone</td>
<td>01-January-2010</td>
<td>21,365.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. WUC116/05 University Mathematics for</td>
<td>stand-alone</td>
<td>01-July-2010</td>
<td>20,076.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TCC240/05 Object-Oriented Analysis and</td>
<td>stand-alone</td>
<td>01-July-2011</td>
<td>16,863.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. TCC242/05 Web Database Application</td>
<td>OER-based</td>
<td>01-January-2012</td>
<td>24,635.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Reasons Behind the High Cost

- the CW's time saved with respect to writing the course material was spent ensuring the integrity of the OER content;

- additional content needed to be developed by the CW to bridge the gaps in the disparate OER material;

- the standard WOUQA process needed to be followed to ensure that the course material was at an acceptable standard.
CostSavingsforInstitutions

The true costs savings for an institution would be visible only when more and more OER-based course materials are redeveloped and shared freely amongst peer institutions through a “Partnerships and Exchanges” model (Downes, 2007) reducing the need for re-development of common modules.

Licensing

©2011 Wawasan Open University. Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/or send a letter to Creative Commons, 444 Castro Street, Suite 900, Mountain View, CA 94041, USA

This course material was published to support the learning of students registered with Wawasan Open University. Wawasan Open University does not grant any degree, certification or credits based solely on your completion of this course material.
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